

**NOTE: CHANGES MADE BY COURT**

**JS-6**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
FOR THE CENTRAL COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AMY VAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., et al.,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:23-cv-00912-FWS-SP

**ORDER RE STIPULATION  
DISMISSING ACTION  
WITHOUT PREJUDICE [20]**

///

///

///

1 Having reviewed and considered the Stipulation Dismissing Action without  
2 Prejudice [14] (the “Stipulation”), and good cause appearing, the court **ORDERS** the  
3 following:  
4

- 5 1. The above-captioned action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** to  
6 Plaintiff AMY VAN (“Plaintiff”) re-filing the Action following the  
7 completion of the Administrative Action.  
8
- 9 2. If Plaintiff elects to re-file the Action, the time between the dismissal and  
10 recommencement (if any) will not count against any statute of limitations  
11 or other time-related defenses.  
12
- 13 3. Nothing in this Order acts as a waiver of Defendant JPMORGAN CHASE  
14 BANK, N.A.’s right to compel arbitration of any arbitrable claims.  
15

16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**  
17

18  
19 Dated: July 7, 2023



20 Hon. Fred W. Slaughter  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28